

# Some slides for 2nd Lecture, Algebra 2

Diego Ruano

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Aalborg University  
Denmark

7-02-2012

A **ring** is an abelian group  $(R, +)$  (the neutral element is 0) with an additional composition  $\cdot$  called multiplication which satisfies (for every  $x, y, z \in R$ ):

- 1  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$
- 2 There exists an element  $1 \in R$  s.t.  $1 \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x$
- 3  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  and  $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$ .

An **ideal** in a ring  $R$  is a subgroup  $I$  of  $(R, +)$  such that  $\lambda x \in I$  for every  $\lambda \in R$  and  $x \in I$

An equivalent definition of ideal: An ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a subset  $I \subset R$  such that:

- 1  $0 \in I$
- 2 If  $x, y \in I$ , then  $x + y \in I$
- 3 If  $x \in I$  and  $\lambda \in R$ , then  $x\lambda \in I$ .

An ideal  $I$  in  $R$  that can be generated by one element is called a **principal ideal** (that is, there exists  $d \in R$  s.t.  $I = \langle d \rangle$ ).

A domain in which every ideal is a principal ideal is called a **principal ideal domain**.

### Proposition 3.1.10

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal domain.

# Quotient Rings

- Let  $I \subset R$  an ideal. In particular,  $I \subset R$  is a subgroup for  $+$
- We can consider left cosets  $[x] = x + I$  and the set of left cosets

$$R/I = \{[x] : x \in R\}$$

- Recall:  $R/I$  is an abelian group (for “+”),  $[x] = [y]$  if and only if  $x - y \in I$  (see Lemma 2.2.6, page 63).

We can make  $R/I$  into a ring (for  $[x], [y] \in R/I$ ):

$$[x] + [y] = [x + y]$$

$$[x][y] = [xy]$$

$R/I$  is the **quotient ring of  $R$  by  $I$**  and has  $[0]$  and  $[1]$  as neutral elements for  $+$  and  $\cdot$ .

We have that  $[x] = [0]$  if  $x \in ???$

- One should prove that quotient ring of  $R$  by  $I$  is well defined: the proof is exactly the same as proposition 1.3.4.
- Example in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Proposition 3.2.2

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \neq 0$ , the group of units of  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$  is an abelian group with  $\varphi(d)$  elements.

Proof:  $[x] = x + d\mathbb{Z}$  is a unit if and only if  $\gcd(x, d) = 1$ .

- If  $\gcd(x, d) = 1$  we use Euclidean algorithm:  $\lambda x + \mu d = 1$ .
- Then,  $[\lambda x + \mu d] = [\lambda][x] = [1]$ , hence  $x$  is a unit
- If  $[x]$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  then there exists  $[\lambda] \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $[\lambda][x] = 1$ .
- Then,  $\lambda x - 1 \in d\mathbb{Z}$  and there is  $\mu$  s.t.  $\lambda x - 1 = \mu d$ . And therefore  $\gcd(x, d) = 1$  (exercise 1.14).

An element  $x \in R$  is called a **unit** if there exists  $y \in R$  s.t.  $xy = yx = 1$ . In this case we say  $x^{-1} = y$  is the inverse of  $x$ . The set of units in  $R$  is denoted  $R^*$ .

An element  $x \in R$  is called a **zero divisor** if there exists  $y \in R \setminus \{0\}$  s.t.  $xy = 0$  or  $yx = 0$ .

A ring  $R$  with  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$  is called a **field**.

A **domain** is a ring  $R \neq \{0\}$  with no zero divisors.

### Proposition 3.2.3

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is a prime number. If  $n$  is a composite number then  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is not a domain.

For a prime number  $p$ , the field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is denoted  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Proof:

- For  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$
- For  $n > 0$ , we have  $|(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*| = \varphi(n)$  (by previous th.)
- However,  $|\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}| = n$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a field if and only if  $\varphi(n) = n - 1$ , that is, if  $n$  is prime.
- If  $n = ab$  composite ( $1 < a, b, < n$ ), we have  $[a][b] = [0]$  but  $[a], [b] \neq [0]$ . Therefore it is not a domain.

Let  $I \subset R$ , with  $I \neq R$ , an ideal. If  $xy \in I$  implies  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$  (or both), for every  $x, y \in R$ , we say that  $I$  is a **prime ideal**.

### Proposition 3.2.6

An ideal  $I \subset R$  is a prime ideal if and only if  $R/I$  is a domain.

Proof:

- If  $I$  is prime, exercise 3.21 will show that  $R/I$  is a domain
- If  $R/I$  is a domain, then  $R/I \neq 0$  and  $[x][y] = 0$  implies  $[x] = 0$  or  $[y] = 0$ , that is,  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ .



Let  $I \subset R$ , with  $I \neq R$ , an ideal. If for  $J \subset R$  ideal with  $I \subsetneq J$  implies  $J = R$ , we say that  $I$  is a **maximal ideal**.

### Proposition 3.2.7

An ideal  $I \subset R$  is a maximal ideal if and only if  $R/I$  is a field.  
(Every maximal ideal is prime, because a field is a domain)

Proof (assume  $R/I$  is a field):

- Then  $R/I \neq 0$  and for  $[x] \neq 0$ , there exists  $[y]$  s.t.  $[x][y] = [1]$ .
- That is: for every  $x \notin I$  there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $xy - 1 \in I$ .
- Suppose  $J$  is another ideal s.t.  $I \subset J \subset R$ . If  $x \in J \setminus I$ , we may find  $y \notin I$  s.t.  $xy - 1 \in I \subset J$ .
- But  $x \in J$  and therefore  $xy \in J$ , hence  $1 = -(xy - 1) + xy \in J$ . So,  $J = R$

Proof (assume  $I \subset R$  is a maximal ideal):

- If  $[x] \in R/I$  is non-zero, is it a unit?. We know that  $x \notin I$ .
- The subset  $I + Rx = \{i + rx : i \in I, r \in R\}$  is an ideal in  $R$ .
- Since  $I \subsetneq I + Rx$ , we have that  $I + Rx = R$  and therefore  $1 \in I + Rx$
- So,  $1 = m + rx$  for some  $m \in I$  and  $r \in R$ .
- In  $R/I$  this means:  $[1] = [r][x]$ , and hence  $[x]$  is a unit in  $R/I$ .

# Example $\mathbb{Z}$



A map  $f : R \rightarrow S$  between two rings  $R$  and  $S$  is called a **ring homomorphism** if:

- 1 It is a group homomorphism from  $(R, +)$  to  $(S, +)$ .
- 2  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ , for every  $x, y \in R$
- 3  $f(1) = 1$

A bijective ring homomorphism is called **ring isomorphism**. If  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is an isomorphism, we say that  $R$  and  $S$  are isomorphic,  $R \cong S$

Example: A surjective ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R &\rightarrow R/I \\ r &\mapsto [r] \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 3.11

$\text{Ker}(f) = \{r \in R : f(r) = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$

The image  $f(R)$  is a subring of  $S$

### Proposition 3.3.2

Let  $R, S$  be rings and  $f : R \rightarrow S$  a ring homomorphism with kernel  $K = \text{Ker}(f)$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f} : R/K &\rightarrow f(R) \\ r + K &\mapsto f(r)\end{aligned}$$

is a well defined map and a ring isomorphism

Proof:

- We know that  $\tilde{f}$  is well defined and it is an isomorphism of abelian groups (theorem 2.5.1).
- $\tilde{f}((x + K)(y + K)) = \tilde{f}(xy + K) = f(xy) = f(x)f(y) = \tilde{f}(x + K)\tilde{f}(y + K)$
- $\tilde{f}(1 + K) = f(1) = 1$