Some slides for 13th Lecture, Algebra

Diego Ruano

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Aalborg University
Denmark

2-11-2010

A ring is an abelian group (R, +) (the neutral element is 0) with an additional composition \cdot called multiplication with satisfies (for every $x, y, z \in R$):

- 2 There exists an element $1 \in R$ s.t. $1 \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x$
- $3 x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z \text{ and } (y+z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x.$

An ideal in a ring R is a subgroup I of (R, +) such that $\lambda x \in I$ for every $\lambda \in R$ and $x \in I$

An equivalent definition of ideal: An ideal I of R is a subset $I \subset R$ such that:

- **①** 0 ∈ *I*
- 2 If $x, y \in I$, then $x + y \in I$

An ideal I in R that can be generated by one element is called a principal ideal (that is, there exists $d \in R$ s.t. $I = \langle d \rangle$.

A domain in which every ideal is a principal ideal is called a principal ideal domain.

Proposition 3.1.10

The ring \mathbb{Z} is a principal ideal domain.

Quotient Rings

- Let $I \subset R$ an ideal. In particular, $I \subset R$ is a subgroup for +
- We can consider left cosets [x] = x + I and the set of left cosets

$$R/I = \{[x] : x \in R\}$$

• Recall: R/I is an abelian group (for "+"), [x] = [y] if and only if $x - y \in I$ (see Lemma 2.2.6, page 63).

We can make R/I into a ring (for $[x], [y] \in R/I$):

$$[x] + [y] = [x + y]$$
$$[x][y] = [xy]$$

R/I is the quotient ring of R by I and has [0] and [1] as neutral elements for + and \cdot .

We have that [x] = [0] if $x \in ???$

- One should proof that quotient ring of R by I is well defined: the proof is exactly the same as proposition 1.3.4.
- \bullet Example in \mathbb{Z} .

Proposition 3.2.2

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \neq 0$, the group of units of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$ is an abelian group with $\varphi(d)$ elements.

Proof: $[x] = x + d\mathbb{Z}$ is a unit if and only if gcd(x, d) = 1.

- If gcd(x, d) = 1 we use Euclidean algorithm: $\lambda x + \mu d = 1$.
- Then, $[\lambda x + \mu d] = [\lambda][x] = [1]$, hence x is a unit
- If [x] is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ then there exists $[\lambda] \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $[\lambda][x] = 1$.
- Then, $\lambda x 1 \in d\mathbb{Z}$ and there is μ s.t. $\lambda x 1 = \mu d$. And therefore $\gcd(x, d) = 1$ (exercise 1.14).

An element $x \in R$ is called a unit if there exists $y \in R$ s.t. xy = yx = 1. In this case we say $x^{-1} = y$ is the inverse of x. The set of units in R is denoted R^* .

An element $x \in R$ is called a zero divisor if there exists $y \in R \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. xy = 0 or yx = 0.

A ring R with $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$ is called a field.

A domain is a ring $R \neq \{0\}$ with no zero divisors.

Proposition 3.2.3

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field if and only if n is a prime number. If n is a composite number then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is not a domain.

For a prime number p, the field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is denoted \mathbb{F}_p .

Proof:

- For n = 0, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}
- For n > 0, we have $|(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*| = \varphi(n)$ (by previous th.)
- However, $|\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}| = n$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field if and only if $\varphi(n) = n 1$, that is, if n is prime.
- If n = ab composite (1 < a, b, < n), we have [a][b] = [0] but $[a], [b] \neq [0]$. Therefore it is not a domain.

Let $I \subset R$, with $I \neq R$, an ideal. If $xy \in I$ implies $x \in I$ or $y \in I$ (or both), for every $x, y \in R$, we say that I is a prime ideal.

Proposition 3.2.6

An ideal $I \subset R$ is a prime ideal if and only if R/I is a domain.

Proof:

- If I is prime, exercise 3.21 will show that R/I is a domain
- If R/I is a domain, then $R/I \neq 0$ and [x][y] = 0 implies [x] = 0 or [y] = 0, that is, $x \in I$ or $y \in I$.

Let $I \subset R$, with $I \neq R$, an ideal. If for $J \subset R$ ideal with $I \subsetneq J$ implies J = R, we say that I is a maximal ideal.

Proposition 3.2.7

An ideal $I \subset R$ is a maximal ideal if and only if R/I is a field. (Every maximal ideal is prime, because a field is a domain)

Proof (assume R/I is a field):

- Then $R/I \neq 0$ and for $[x] \neq 0$, there exists [y] s.t. [x][y] = [1].
- That is: for every $x \notin I$ there exists $y \in R$ such that $xy 1 \in I$.
- Suppose *J* is another ideal s.t. $I \subset J \subset R$. If $x \in J \setminus I$, we may find $y \notin I$ s.t. $xy 1 \in I \subset J$.
- But $x \in J$ and therefore $xy \in J$, hence $1 = -(xy 1) + xy \in J$. So, J = R

Proof (assume $I \subset R$ is a maximal ideal):

- If $[x] \in R/I$ is non-zero, is it a unit?. We know that $x \notin I$.
- The subset $I + Rx = \{i + rx : i \in I, r \in R\}$ is an ideal in R.
- Since $I \subsetneq I + Rx$, we have that I + Rx = R and therefore $1 \in I + Rx$
- So, 1 = m + rx for some $m \in I$ and $r \in R$.
- In R/I this means: [1] = [r][x], and hence [x] is a unit in R/I.

Example \mathbb{Z}



A map $f: R \to S$ between two rings R and S is called a ring homomorphism if:

- It is a group homomorphism from (R, +) to (S, +).
- 2 f(xy) = f(x)f(y), for every $x, y \in R$
- 3 f(1) = 1

A bijective ring homomorphism is called ring isomorphism. If $f: R \to S$ is an isomorphism, we say that R and S are isomorphic, $R \cong S$

Example: A surjective ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \rightarrow & R/I \\ r & \mapsto & [r] \end{array}$$

Exercise 3.11

 $Ker(f) = \{r \in R : f(r) = 0\}$ is an ideal of RThe image f(R) is a subring of S

Proposition 3.3.2

Let R, S be rings and $f: R \to S$ a ring homomorphism with kernel $K = \mathrm{Ker}(f)$. Then:

$$\tilde{f}: R/K \rightarrow f(R)$$

 $r+K \mapsto f(r)$

is a well defined map and a ring isomorphism

Proof:

- We know that \tilde{f} is well defined and it is an isomorphism of abelian groups (theorem 2.5.1).
- $\bullet \ \tilde{f}((x+K)(y+K)) = \tilde{f}(xy+K) = f(xy) = f(x)f(y) = \tilde{f}(x+K)\tilde{f}(y+K)$
- $\tilde{f}(1+K) = f(1) = 1$

A relation R on a set S is a subset $R \subset S \times S$. We say xRy to mean $(x, y) \in R$.

A relation R on S is

- reflexive if xRx for every $x \in S$
- symmetric if $xRy \Longrightarrow yRx$ for every $x, y \in S$
- transitive if xRy and $yRz \Longrightarrow xRz$ for every $x, y, z \in S$

R is called equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Example: $I \subset R$ an ideal in a ring. We define the relation:

$$x \equiv y \pmod{I} \iff x - y \in I$$

- Reflexive: $0 \in I$
- Symmetric: $x \in I \Longrightarrow -x \in I$
- Transitive: $x, y \in I \Longrightarrow x + y \in I$.

Let $\tilde{}$ be an equivalence relation on a set S. Given $x \in S$, set

$$[x] = \{s \in S : s^{\sim}x\} \subset S$$

This subset is called the equivalence class containing x and x is called a representative for [x].

The set of equivalence classes $\{[x]: x \in S\}$ is denoted $S/\tilde{\ }$.

Example: In the previous example R/\equiv is equal R/I

Compare page 225 and Lemma 2.2.6 (page 63).