

The ideal associated to a code

Coding Theory Seminar

E. Martínez-Moro



Instituto de Investigación
en Matemáticas



Universidad de Valladolid

 A Combinatorial Commutative Algebra Approach to Complete Decoding (2013) PhD Thesis. I. Marquez-Corbella.

Reference

Gröbner representation

Gröbner basis

Gröbner representation of binary codes

Computing coset leaders

Computing a test set

Border of a code

Further topics

- ▶ \mathbb{K} : arbitrary field, \mathbb{Z} : ring of integers.
- ▶ \mathbb{Z}_q : ring of integers modulo q , \mathbb{F}_q : finite field with q elements ($q = p^r$).
- ▶ $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$: The polynomial ring in n variables $\mathbf{X} = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ over \mathbb{K} .

Characteristic crossing functions :

$$\blacktriangledown : \mathbb{Z}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^s \quad \text{and} \quad \blacktriangle : \mathbb{Z}_q^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s$$

where:

- ▶ The map \blacktriangledown is reduction modulo q .
- ▶ The map \blacktriangle replaces the class of $0, 1, \dots, q - 1$ by the same symbols regarded as integers.

- ▶ \mathbb{K} : arbitrary field, \mathbb{Z} : ring of integers.
- ▶ \mathbb{Z}_q : ring of integers modulo q , \mathbb{F}_q : finite field with q elements ($q = p^r$).
- ▶ $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$: The polynomial ring in n variables $\mathbf{X} = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ over \mathbb{K} .

Characteristic crossing functions :

$$\blacktriangledown : \mathbb{Z}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^s \quad \text{and} \quad \blacktriangle : \mathbb{Z}_q^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s$$

where:

- ▶ The map \blacktriangledown is reduction modulo q .
- ▶ The map \blacktriangle replaces the class of $0, 1, \dots, q - 1$ by the same symbols regarded as integers.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be an element of \mathbb{Z}_q^n we set

$$\mathbf{X}^{\blacktriangle \mathbf{a}} = X_1^{\blacktriangle a_1} \dots X_n^{\blacktriangle a_n}.$$

Gröbner representation

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ be a canonical basis of \mathbb{F}_2^n . A **Gröbner representation** of an $[n, k]$ binary linear code \mathcal{C} is a pair (\mathcal{N}, ϕ) where:

- ▶ \mathcal{N} is transversal of the cosets in $\mathbb{F}_2^n/\mathcal{C}$ verifying that:
 - ▶ $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{N}$
 - ▶ $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}' + \mathbf{e}_i$ with $\mathbf{n}' \in \mathcal{N}$
- ▶ $\phi: \mathcal{N} \times \{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$
- ▶ that maps each pair $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_i)$ to the element of \mathcal{N} representing the coset of $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i$.

Some references on Gröbner representation and its implementations:



M. Borges-Quintana, M.A. Borges-Trenard, P. Fitzpatrick and E. Martínez-Moro,
Gröbner bases and combinatorics for binary codes,
Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. Volume 19, no.5, 393–411, 2008.



M. Borges-Quintana, M.A. Borges-Trenard and E. Martínez-Moro,
A Gröbner bases structure associated to linear codes,
J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr. Volume 10, no.2, 151–191, 2007.



M. Borges-Quintana, M. A. Borges-Trenard and E. Martínez-Moro.
A general framework for applying FGLM techniques to linear codes.
Lectures Notes in Comput. Sci., AAECC 16, volume 3857, 76-86, 2006.



M. Borges-Quintana, M. A. Borges-Trenard and E. Martínez-Moro.
GBLA-LC: Gröbner bases by Linear Algebra and Linear Codes.
ICM 2006. Mathematical Software, EMS, 604-605, 2006.

Stop!!!

See the whiteboard for a really quick intro to Gröbner basis.

Gröbner representation of binary codes

The word **Gröbner** is NOT CASUAL.

1. Consider:

- ▶ The binomial ideal:

$$I_2(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{w}_1} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{w}_2} \mid \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{X}]$$

- ▶ A degree compatible ordering \prec .

2. Compute a Gröbner basis \mathcal{G} of $I_2(\mathcal{C})$ w.r.t. \prec .

3. Then we can take:

- ▶ \mathcal{N} as the vectors \mathbf{w} such that $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{w}}$ is a standard monomial in \mathcal{G} .
- ▶ ϕ as the multiplications tables of the standard monomials times the variables x_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ modulo the ideal $I_2(\mathcal{C})$.

Gröbner representation of binary codes

Theorem [Borges-Borges-Fitzpatrick-Martínez (2008)] Given the rows of a generator matrix of an $[n, k]$ binary code \mathcal{C} labelled by $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k$, then:

$$I_2(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle \{ \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{w}_i} - 1 \}_{i=1, \dots, k} \cup \{ x_i^2 - 1 \}_{i=1, \dots, n} \right\rangle$$

Example 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a $[6, 3, 3]$ binary code with generator matrix G and parity check matrix H given by:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Binomial ideal associated to \mathcal{C} :

$$I_2(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle \{x_1x_4x_5x_6 - 1, x_2x_5x_6 - 1, x_3x_4x_6 - 1\} \cup \{x_i^2 - 1\}_{i=1,\dots,6} \right\rangle$$

Example 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a $[6, 3, 3]$ binary code with generator matrix G and parity check matrix H given by:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **Binomial ideal associated to \mathcal{C} :**

$$I_2(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle \{x_1x_4x_5x_6 - 1, x_2x_5x_6 - 1, x_3x_4x_6 - 1\} \cup \{x_i^2 - 1\}_{i=1,\dots,6} \right\rangle$$

Example 1

- The **reduced Gröbner basis** of $I_2(\mathcal{C})$ w.r.t. degrevlex order with $x_1 < \dots < x_6$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_6x_5 - x_3, \quad x_6x_4 - x_2, \quad x_6x_3 - x_5, \quad x_6x_2 - x_4, \\ x_5x_4 - x_6x_1, \quad x_5x_3 - x_6, \quad x_5x_2 - x_1, \quad x_5x_1 - x_2, \\ x_4x_3 - x_1, \quad x_4x_2 - x_6, \quad x_4x_1 - x_3, \\ x_3x_2 - x_6x_1, \quad x_3x_1 - x_4, \\ x_2x_1 - x_5 \end{array} \right\} \cup \{x_i^2 - 1\}_{i=1}^6$$

- $\mathcal{N} = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_6, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_6 \}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} [\mathbf{0}, [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]], & [\mathbf{e}_1, [1, 5, 6, 3, 4, 8]], & [\mathbf{e}_2, [5, 1, 8, 2, 7, 6]], \\ [\mathbf{e}_3, [6, 8, 1, 7, 2, 5]], & [\mathbf{e}_4, [3, 2, 7, 1, 2, 5]], & [\mathbf{e}_5, [4, 7, 2, 8, 1, 3]], \\ [\mathbf{e}_6, [8, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1]], & [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_6, [7, 4, 3, 6, 5, 2]] & \end{array}$$

Example 1

- ▶ The **reduced Gröbner basis** of $I_2(\mathcal{C})$ w.r.t. degrevlex order with $x_1 < \dots < x_6$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_6x_5 - x_3, \quad x_6x_4 - x_2, \quad x_6x_3 - x_5, \quad x_6x_2 - x_4, \\ x_5x_4 - x_6x_1, \quad x_5x_3 - x_6, \quad x_5x_2 - x_1, \quad x_5x_1 - x_2, \\ x_4x_3 - x_1, \quad x_4x_2 - x_6, \quad x_4x_1 - x_3, \\ x_3x_2 - x_6x_1, \quad x_3x_1 - x_4, \\ x_2x_1 - x_5 \end{array} \right\} \cup \{x_i^2 - 1\}_{i=1}^6$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = \{ \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_6, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_6 \}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} [\mathbf{0}, [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]], & [\mathbf{e}_1, [1, 5, 6, 3, 4, 8]], & [\mathbf{e}_2, [5, 1, 8, 2, 7, 6]], \\ [\mathbf{e}_3, [6, 8, 1, 7, 2, 5]], & [\mathbf{e}_4, [3, 2, 7, 1, 2, 5]], & [\mathbf{e}_5, [4, 7, 2, 8, 1, 3]], \\ [\mathbf{e}_6, [8, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1]], & [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_6, [7, 4, 3, 6, 5, 2]] & \end{array}$$

Algorithm 5.1: Computing all the coset leader of a binary code \mathcal{C}

Data: A **weight compatible ordering** \prec and a **parity check matrix** $H \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

Result: The **set of coset leaders** $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})$ and (\mathcal{N}, ϕ) a **GR** for \mathcal{C} .

```

1 List  $\leftarrow$  [0];  $\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $r \leftarrow 0$ ;  $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C}) \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;
2 while List  $\neq \emptyset$  do
3     t  $\leftarrow$  NextTerm[List]; s  $\leftarrow$   $\mathbf{t}H^T$ ;
4     j  $\leftarrow$  Member[s,  $\mathcal{S}$ ];
5     if j  $\neq$  false then
6         for  $k \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{t})$  :  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}' + \mathbf{e}_k$  with  $\mathbf{t}' \in \mathcal{N}$  do
7              $\phi(\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{e}_k) \leftarrow$  t;
8         if  $w_H(\mathbf{t}) = w_H(\mathbf{t}_j)$  then
9              $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})[\mathbf{t}_j] \leftarrow$   $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})[\mathbf{t}_j] \cup \{\mathbf{t}\}$ ;
10            List  $\leftarrow$  InsertNext[t, List];
11     else
12          $r \leftarrow r + 1$ ;  $\mathbf{t}_r \leftarrow$  t;  $\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \mathcal{N} \cup \{\mathbf{t}_r\}$ ;
13          $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})[\mathbf{t}_r] \leftarrow$   $\{\mathbf{t}_r\}$ ;  $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \mathcal{S} \cup \{\mathbf{s}\}$ ;
14         List = InsertNext[tr, List];
15         for  $k \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{t}_r)$  :  $\mathbf{t}_r = \mathbf{t}' + \mathbf{e}_k$  with  $\mathbf{t}' \in \mathcal{N}$  do
16              $\phi(\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{e}_k) \leftarrow$  tr;
17              $\phi(\mathbf{t}_r, \mathbf{e}_k) \leftarrow$  t';

```


Computing coset leaders

Complexity: $n|\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})| \Rightarrow$ has **near-optimal performance**.

Example 1. (Cont.)

- Using algorithm 5.1, we obtain the following list of coset leaders:

Coset Leaders $CL(\mathcal{C})$	
$CL(\mathcal{C})_0$	$[\mathbf{0}]$
$CL(\mathcal{C})_1$	$[\mathbf{e}_1], [\mathbf{e}_2], [\mathbf{e}_3], [\mathbf{e}_4], [\mathbf{e}_5], [\mathbf{e}_6]$
$CL(\mathcal{C})_2$	$[\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_6, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5]$

Table: List of coset leaders in Example 1

The algorithm could be adapted without incrementing the complexity to obtain the following **additional information**:

- ▶ **Newton radius** ($\nu(\mathcal{C})$):
- ▶ Largest weight of any vector that can be uniquely corrected.
- ▶ **Covering radius** ($\rho(\mathcal{C})$):
- ▶ Smallest integer s such that \mathbb{F}_q^n is the union of the spheres of radius s centered at the codewords of \mathcal{C} .
- ▶ **Weight Distribution of the Coset leaders (WDCL)**:
- ▶ List $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ where α_i is the $\#$ of cosets with weight i .
- ▶ **Number of coset leaders in each coset.**

Example 1. (Cont.)

$\nu(C) = 1$, $\rho(C) = 2$, WDCL = [1, 6, 1, 0, 0, 0] and

$$\#(\text{CL}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1, \\ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Algorithm 5.2: Algorithm for computing a test-set of a binary code \mathcal{C}

Data: A weight compatible ordering \prec and a parity check matrix H of a binary code \mathcal{C} .

Result: The set of coset leaders $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})$ and the set of leader codewords $\text{L}(\mathcal{C})$ for \mathcal{C} .

```

1 List  $\leftarrow$  [0];  $\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $r \leftarrow 0$ ;  $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C}) \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $\text{L}(\mathcal{C}) \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;
2 while List  $\neq \emptyset$  do
3   t  $\leftarrow$  NextTerm[List]; s  $\leftarrow$   $\mathbf{t}H^T$ ;
4   k  $\leftarrow$  Member[s,  $\mathcal{S}$ ];
5   if k  $\neq$  false then
6     if  $w_H(\mathbf{t}) = w_H(\mathbf{t}_k)$  then
7       CL( $\mathcal{C}$ )[ $\mathbf{t}_k$ ]  $\leftarrow$   $\text{CL}(\mathcal{C})[\mathbf{t}_k] \cup \{\mathbf{t}\}$ ;
8       List  $\leftarrow$  InsertNext[t, List];
9     if  $\exists i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{t}) : \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}' + \mathbf{e}_i$  with  $\mathbf{t}' \in \text{CL}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{t}')$ 
10    then
11      L( $\mathcal{C}$ )  $\leftarrow$ 
12      L( $\mathcal{C}$ )  $\cup \{\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{t}_j \mid \mathbf{t}_j \in \text{CL}(\mathcal{C})[\mathbf{t}_k] \text{ and } \text{supp}(\mathbf{t}) \cap \text{supp}(\mathbf{t}_j) = \emptyset\}$ 
13    else
14      r  $\leftarrow$  r + 1;  $\mathbf{t}_r \leftarrow$  t;  $\mathcal{N} \leftarrow$   $\mathcal{N} \cup \{\mathbf{t}_r\}$ ;
15      CL( $\mathcal{C}$ )[ $\mathbf{t}_r$ ]  $\leftarrow$   $\{\mathbf{t}_r\}$ ;  $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow$   $\mathcal{S} \cup \{\mathbf{s}\}$ ;
16      List = InsertNext[ $\mathbf{t}_r$ , List];

```

Computing a test set

- ▶ The difference between Algorithm 5.1 are the Steps in red.

Theorem[Borges-Borges-Márquez-Martínez]. The subset $L(\mathcal{C})$ is a test-set for \mathcal{C} .

Computing a test set

- ▶ With the subset of **leader codewords** $(L(\mathcal{C}))$ we can compute the subset $CL(\mathbf{y})$ of coset leaders corresponding to the coset $\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{C}$.

Algorithm 5.3: Computing the set $CL(\mathbf{y})$

Data: A vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and the **set of leader codewords** $L(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} .

Result: The **subset** $CL(\mathbf{y})$ of coset leaders of $\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{C}$.

- 1 Compute a coset leader of $\mathbf{y} + \mathcal{C}$ by gradient-like decoding using the test-set $L(\mathcal{C})$;
 - 2 $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow N(\mathbf{y}); \mathcal{S} \leftarrow \{\mathbf{y}\}; L \leftarrow L(\mathcal{C});$
 - 3 **while** *there exists* $\mathbf{c} \in L : w_H(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) = w_H(\mathbf{y})$ **do**
 - 4 $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}; \mathcal{S} \leftarrow \mathcal{S} \cup \{\mathbf{y}\};$
 - 5 $L \leftarrow L - \{\mathbf{c}\};$
 - 6 Return \mathcal{S}
-

Border of a code

Associated to the Gröbner representation (\mathcal{N}, ϕ) for the binary code \mathcal{C} we can define the **border of a code**:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}) = \left\{ (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i, \phi(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_i)) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i \neq \phi(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_i), \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N} \\ \text{and } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$ we define:

$$\text{head}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tail}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$$

$$\text{head}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{tail}(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Border of a code

Associated to the Gröbner representation (\mathcal{N}, ϕ) for the binary code \mathcal{C} we can define the **border of a code**:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}) = \left\{ (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i, \phi(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_i)) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i \neq \phi(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}_i), \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N} \\ \text{and } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$ we define:

$$\text{head}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tail}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$$

$$\text{head}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{tail}(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Border of a code

The information in the border is somehow **redundant**, we can reduce the number of codewords in it by defining the **Reduced Border of a code** as follows:

Let \prec be a term ordering. A subset $R(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq B(\mathcal{C})$ is called the *reduced border of the code \mathcal{C}* w.r.t. \prec if it fulfills the following conditions:

- ▶ For each element in the border $\mathbf{b} \in B(\mathcal{C})$ there exists an element \mathbf{h} in $R(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\text{supp}(\text{head}(\mathbf{h})) \subseteq \text{supp}(\text{head}(\mathbf{b}))$.
- ▶ For every two different elements \mathbf{h}_1 and \mathbf{h}_2 in $R(\mathcal{C})$ neither $\text{supp}(\text{head}(\mathbf{h}_1)) \subseteq \text{supp}(\text{head}(\mathbf{h}_2))$ nor $\text{supp}(\text{head}(\mathbf{h}_2)) \subseteq \text{supp}(\text{head}(\mathbf{h}_1))$ is verified.

Border of a code

Proposition: Let us consider the set of codewords in \mathcal{C} given by

$$M_{\text{Red}_{\prec}}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\text{head}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{tail}(\mathbf{b}) \mid \mathbf{b} \in R(\mathcal{C})\}$$

Then $M_{\text{Red}_{\prec}}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a subset of codewords of minimal support of \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Thus $R(\mathcal{C})$ is a minimal test-set that allow Barg's GDD.

Modular Integer Program Problem

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^m$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$\text{IP}_{A, \mathbf{w}, q}(\mathbf{b}) = \begin{cases} \text{Minimize } \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} \\ \text{subject to } \begin{cases} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{b} \pmod{q} \\ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

✓ except for the binary case

Minimum Distance Decoding (MDD)

Let C be a linear $[n, k]$ code. Given a received word $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ **MDD** is to find a codeword $\mathbf{x} \in C$ that minimizes the Hamming distance $d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

Test-Set

A *test-set* for $\text{IP}_{A, \mathbf{w}, q}(\mathbf{b})$ is a subset $\mathcal{T}_{> \mathbf{w}} \subseteq \ker_{\mathbb{Z}_q}(A)$ such that for each non-optimal solution \mathbf{u} there exists $t \in \mathcal{T}_{> \mathbf{w}}$ such that $\mathbf{u} - t$ is also a solution and $t \cdot \mathbf{w} > 0$.

✓ except for the binary case

Test-Set

A *test-set* for the code C is a subset

$$\mathcal{T} \subseteq C$$

such that for every vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ either $\mathbf{y} \in C$ or there exists a $t \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $w_H(\mathbf{y} - t) < w_H(\mathbf{y})$

We can define the ideal associated to $\text{IP}_{A, \mathbf{w}, q}(\mathbf{b})$ as

$$I(A^\perp) = \left\langle \{x_j^{\mathbf{w}_j} - 1\}_{j=1}^k \cup \{x_i^q - 1\}_{i=1}^n \right\rangle$$

where $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ is a set of \mathbb{Z}_q -generators of the row space of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{m \times n}$.

A reduced Gröbner basis of $I(A^\perp)$ induced a test-set for $\text{IP}_{A, \mathbf{w}, q}(\mathbf{b})$

Universal Test-Set for $\text{IP}_{H, q}(\mathbf{b}) \supseteq$ Codewords of minimal support of C

A Graver basis of $I(H^\perp)$

