

On trace codes, duality and Galois invariance

EKU Seminar on Coding Theory

E. Martínez-Moro




Instituto de Investigación
en Matemáticas



Universidad de Valladolid



Acknowledgements

 Main reference: E. Martínez-Moro and A.P. Nicolás and I.F. Rúa
“On trace codes and Galois invariance over finite commutative chain rings”. *Finite Fields and Their Applications* (2013), **22**(0), 114 – 121.

Acknowledgements

Introduction

Chain Rings

Galois Extensions of Chain Rings

Galois invariant codes

Galois closure

The picture

Let \mathbb{F}_{q^e} be the finite field of q^e elements, q a power of a prime, and let C be a linear code over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} of length n , i.e., a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}^n$. There are two classical constructions that allow us to build a linear code over \mathbb{F}_q from C .

If C has dimension k over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} and minimum distance d , then the **subfield subcode** (or restriction of C to \mathbb{F}_q) is defined as

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) = C \cap \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

The code $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)$ is a \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n , dimension $k_s \geq ek - (e - 1)n$ and minimum distance $d_s \geq d$.

Let \mathbb{F}_{q^e} be the finite field of q^e elements, q a power of a prime, and let C be a linear code over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} of length n , i.e., a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}^n$. There are two classical constructions that allow us to build a linear code over \mathbb{F}_q from C .

If C has dimension k over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} and minimum distance d , then the **subfield subcode** (or restriction of C to \mathbb{F}_q) is defined as

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) = C \cap \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

The code $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)$ is a \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n , dimension $k_s \geq ek - (e - 1)n$ and minimum distance $d_s \geq d$.

The **trace code** of C is given by

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^e}|\mathbb{F}_q}(C) = \left\{ \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^e}|\mathbb{F}_q}(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^e}|\mathbb{F}_q}(c_n) \right) \mid (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C \right\}$$

where $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^e}|\mathbb{F}_q}$ denotes the trace function over \mathbb{F}_q . The dimension k_t of the trace code fulfills $k \leq ek_t$.

A commutative ring R is said a **chain ring** if the lattice of all its ideals is a chain. This implies that R is a principal ideal ring and its chain of ideals is

$$R > \mathfrak{m} > \dots > \mathfrak{m}^{t-1} > \mathfrak{m}^t = 0,$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{N}(R)$ denotes the nilradical of R . In particular, R is a local ring and the quotient R/\mathfrak{m} is a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . If $t > 1$, then $\mathfrak{m}^i = Rp^i$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$, with p any element in $\mathfrak{m}^2 \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. In such a case, any element $a \in R$ can be uniquely written as $a = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} a_i p^i$, with $a_i \in \Gamma(R) = \{b \in R \mid b^q = b\}$.

The set $\Gamma(R)$ is a coordinate set of R , i.e., a complete set of representatives of $R \bmod \mathfrak{m} = R/\mathfrak{m}$. If $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ is the canonical projection, a monic polynomial $f \in R[x]$ is called basic irreducible if $\pi(f)$ is irreducible in $(R/\mathfrak{m})[x]$.

Let R and S be two finite commutative chain rings such that $R \subset S$ and $1_R = 1_S$. We say that S is an extension of R and we denote it by $S|R$. Provided that \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{M} are the maximal ideals of R and S respectively, we say that the extension $S|R$ is separable if $\mathfrak{m}S = \mathfrak{M}$. The last condition is equivalent to the condition $S \cong R[x]/(f)$, where (f) is the ideal generated by a monic basic irreducible polynomial $f \in R[x]$.

The set $\Gamma(R)$ is a coordinate set of R , i.e., a complete set of representatives of $R \bmod \mathfrak{m} = R/\mathfrak{m}$. If $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ is the canonical projection, a monic polynomial $f \in R[x]$ is called basic irreducible if $\pi(f)$ is irreducible in $(R/\mathfrak{m})[x]$.

Let R and S be two finite commutative chain rings such that $R \subset S$ and $1_R = 1_S$. We say that S is an extension of R and we denote it by $S|R$. Provided that \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{M} are the maximal ideals of R and S respectively, we say that the extension $S|R$ is separable if $\mathfrak{m}S = \mathfrak{M}$. The last condition is equivalent to the condition $S \cong R[x]/(f)$, where (f) is the ideal generated by a monic basic irreducible polynomial $f \in R[x]$.

Galois Extensions of Chain Rings

let us assume that $S|R$ is a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings. The group G of all automorphisms γ of S such that $\gamma|R$ is the identity is called the **Galois group** of $S|R$.

It can be proven that the extension $S|R$ is Galois, that is, $S^G = R$, where $S^G = \{s \in S \mid \gamma(s) = s, \forall \gamma \in G\}$ is the fixed subring of S . Moreover, G is isomorphic to the Galois group of the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}|\mathbb{F}_q$ where \mathbb{F}_{q^e} is the residue field S/\mathfrak{M} .

Galois Extensions of Chain Rings

let us assume that $S|R$ is a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings. The group G of all automorphisms γ of S such that $\gamma|R$ is the identity is called the **Galois group** of $S|R$.

It can be proven that the extension $S|R$ is Galois, that is, $S^G = R$, where $S^G = \{s \in S \mid \gamma(s) = s, \forall \gamma \in G\}$ is the fixed subring of S . Moreover, G is isomorphic to the Galois group of the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}|\mathbb{F}_q$ where \mathbb{F}_{q^e} is the residue field S/\mathfrak{M} .

Galois Extensions of Chain Rings

Thus, G is a cyclic group and it is generated by the power map $\gamma(a) = a^q$, for a suitable primitive element $a \in S$. Furthermore, the set $B = \{\gamma^i(a) \mid i = 0, \dots, e - 1\}$ is a free R -basis of S , i.e., B is a normal basis of S , and we can assume w.l.o.g. that $B \subset \Gamma(S)$, the coordinate system of S . Moreover, S is also an unramified extension of R . So, the maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} of S is generated by the maximal ideal of R , that is, $\mathfrak{M} = S\mathfrak{m} = Sp$. Hence, the lattice of ideals of S is

$$S > Sp > Sp^2 > Sp^3 > \dots > Sp^t = 0.$$

Galois Extensions of Chain Rings

Thus we can write any element $s \in S$ as $s = p^l u$, where $l = 0, 1, \dots, t$ is unique and $u \in S \setminus Sp$ is a unit of S unique modulo Sp^{t-l} . The function $\nu : S \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, t\}$ defined by $\nu(p^l u) = l$ is well-defined because of the uniqueness of l . It verifies that $\nu(s) = 0$ if and only if s is a unit of S .

Galois invariant codes

Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite chain rings and let G be the group of R -automorphisms of S . If $\gamma \in G$, then γ acts naturally over S^n coordinatewise.

A code of length n over S is any subset $C \subseteq S^n$. The code $C \subseteq S^n$ is called linear if it is a submodule of S^n , and it is called **G -invariant (Galois invariant)** if

$$\gamma(C) = C \text{ for all } \gamma \in G.$$

Galois invariant codes

The trace function Tr of an element $s \in S$ over R is defined as $\text{Tr}(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(s)$. This action can be also extended to S^n coordinatewise and a code $C \subseteq S^n$ is called trace invariant if $\text{Tr}(C) = C$. Note that $\text{Tr}(C)$ is a code over R .

Given a linear code C , we define the restriction of C , $\text{Res}(C)$, as the set of all the elements of C which have components in R , i.e., $\text{Res}(C) = C \cap R^n$ and it is also a code over R .

Galois invariant codes

The trace function Tr of an element $s \in S$ over R is defined as $\text{Tr}(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(s)$. This action can be also extended to S^n coordinatewise and a code $C \subseteq S^n$ is called trace invariant if $\text{Tr}(C) = C$. Note that $\text{Tr}(C)$ is a code over R .

Given a linear code C , we define the restriction of C , $\text{Res}(C)$, as the set of all the elements of C which have components in R , i.e., $\text{Res}(C) = C \cap R^n$ and it is also a code over R .

Galois invariant codes

A third construction is the following. If C is a linear code over R (i.e., a linear submodule of R^n), then we define the extension of C as the S -linear code $\text{Ext}(C) = C \otimes_R S$, i.e., the set of all S -linear combinations of codewords in C .

Notice that if C, D are two codes over R and $C \subseteq D$, then $\text{Ext}(C) \subseteq \text{Ext}(D)$. Notice also that $\text{Res}(C) = \text{Res}(\text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C)))$ for any code C over R .

Galois invariant codes

A third construction is the following. If C is a linear code over R (i.e., a linear submodule of R^n), then we define the extension of C as the S -linear code $\text{Ext}(C) = C \otimes_R S$, i.e., the set of all S -linear combinations of codewords in C .

Notice that if C, D are two codes over R and $C \subseteq D$, then $\text{Ext}(C) \subseteq \text{Ext}(D)$. Notice also that $\text{Res}(C) = \text{Res}(\text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C)))$ for any code C over R .

Lemma: Canonical Form

Lemma.- Let S be a finite commutative chain ring with maximal ideal Sp , and let C be a linear code. There exist elements $c_i = (0, \dots, 0, p^{\alpha_i}, y_{ii+1}, \dots, y_{in}) \in C$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $y_{ij} \in S$, such that the code generated by $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ is (permutationally) equivalent to C .

Proof: Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_l\}$ be any generator system of C as submodule of S^n . Let A be the $l \times n$ matrix constructed by stacking the generators words $b_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$, for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{ln} \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma: Canonical Form

Lemma.- Let S be a finite commutative chain ring with maximal ideal Sp , and let C be a linear code. There exist elements $c_i = (0, \dots, 0, p^{\alpha_i}, y_{ii+1}, \dots, y_{in}) \in C$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $y_{ij} \in S$, such that the code generated by $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ is (permutationally) equivalent to C .

Proof: Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_l\}$ be any generator system of C as submodule of S^n . Let A be the $l \times n$ matrix constructed by stacking the generators words $b_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$, for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{ln} \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma: Canonical Form

Since S is a principal ideal ring, it is possible to transform A by a sequence of elementary transformations into a matrix of the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} p^{\nu_1} & y_{12} & \cdots & y_{1k} & y_{1k+1} & \cdots & y_{1n} \\ 0 & p^{\nu_2} & \cdots & y_{2k} & y_{2k+1} & \cdots & y_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & p^{\nu_m} & y_{mm+1} & \cdots & y_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \nu_m < t$, $\nu_i \leq \nu(y_{ij})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = i + 1, \dots, n$, and $\nu_i > \nu(y_{ki})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $k < i$ (unless $y_{ki} = 0$).

Lemma: Canonical Form

Notice that only row operations and column permutations are needed in such a transformation, so the first m rows of B generate a code C' permutationally equivalent to C . □

Main Theorem

Theorem.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . A S -linear code C is G -invariant if and only if $C = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C))$ or, equivalently, if and only if the S -submodule C admits a generator system in R^n .

Let C be a linear S -code. If G is the Galois group of $S|R$, the code $C_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(C)$ is the largest G -invariant subcode of C . This code is called the **G -core** of C . As a consequence of the main theorem we obtain the relationship between the G -core of C and the extension-restriction code.

Corollary.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G , and let C be a linear S -code. If $C_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(C)$, then $C_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C)) = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C_G))$.

Let C be a linear S -code. If G is the Galois group of $S|R$, the code $C_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(C)$ is the largest G -invariant subcode of C . This code is called the **G -core** of C . As a consequence of the main theorem we obtain the relationship between the G -core of C and the extension-restriction code.

Corollary.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G , and let C be a linear S -code. If $C_G = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(C)$, then $C_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C)) = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C_G))$.

Proof.- Let $D = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C))$. This is a G -invariant subcode of C by the previous Theorem, and so $D = D_G$. On the other hand, $D \subseteq C$, thus $D = D_G \subseteq C_G$, which is G -invariant. Using again the main theorem, $C_G = \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C_G)) \subseteq \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C)) = D$. This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . For any S -linear code C

$$\text{Res}(C) \subseteq \text{Tr}(C).$$

Moreover, if C is G -invariant, then

$$\text{Res}(C) = \text{Tr}(C).$$

Lemma.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . Then, for any $v \in S^n$, $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Sv))$.

Lemma.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . For any S -linear code C

$$\text{Res}(C) \subseteq \text{Tr}(C).$$

Moreover, if C is G -invariant, then

$$\text{Res}(C) = \text{Tr}(C).$$

Lemma.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . Then, for any $v \in S^n$, $v \in \text{Ext}(\text{Tr}(Sv))$.

Invariance Theorem

Theorem.- For any separable extension $S|R$ of finite commutative chain rings, and for any S -linear code C ,

$$\text{Res}(C) = \text{Tr}(C)$$

if and only if C is invariant under the Galois group of $S|R$.

Galois closure

The **Galois closure** \bar{C} of an arbitrary code C over S is the smallest Galois closed code over S containing C . It may be obtained from C by taking the span of all images of some set of generators of C under the Galois automorphisms.

Proposition.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . If C is a S -linear code, and \bar{C} is its Galois closure, then $\text{Tr}(C) = \text{Tr}(\bar{C})$.

Proof: Since $C \subseteq \bar{C}$ we have $\text{Tr}(C) \subseteq \text{Tr}(\bar{C})$. On the other hand, let $c \in \bar{C}$, then $c = \sum_j \lambda_j \sigma_j(c_j)$ where $\lambda_j \in S$, $c_j \in C$ and $\sigma_j \in G$. Now, because $\text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}(\sigma(x))$ for all $x \in S^n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(c) &= \text{Tr} \left(\sum_j \lambda_j \sigma_j(c_j) \right) = \sum_j \text{Tr}(\lambda_j \sigma_j(c_j)) \\ &= \sum_j \text{Tr}(\sigma_j^{-1}(\lambda_j) c_j) = \text{Tr} \left(\sum_j \sigma_j^{-1}(\lambda_j) c_j \right) \in \text{Tr}(C) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition.- Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings with Galois group G . If C is a S -linear code, and \bar{C} is its Galois closure, then $\text{Tr}(C) = \text{Tr}(\bar{C})$.

Proof: Since $C \subseteq \bar{C}$ we have $\text{Tr}(C) \subseteq \text{Tr}(\bar{C})$. On the other hand, let $c \in \bar{C}$, then $c = \sum_j \lambda_j \sigma_j(c_j)$ where $\lambda_j \in S$, $c_j \in C$ and $\sigma_j \in G$. Now, because $\text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}(\sigma(x))$ for all $x \in S^n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(c) &= \text{Tr} \left(\sum_j \lambda_j \sigma_j(c_j) \right) = \sum_j \text{Tr}(\lambda_j \sigma_j(c_j)) \\ &= \sum_j \text{Tr}(\sigma_j^{-1}(\lambda_j) c_j) = \text{Tr} \left(\sum_j \sigma_j^{-1}(\lambda_j) c_j \right) \in \text{Tr}(C) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem [Delsarte].-

Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings. If C is a S -linear code, then $\text{Res}(C)^\perp = \text{Tr}(C^\perp)$, where C^\perp is the orthogonal complement to C with respect to the usual scalar product, and $\text{Res}(C)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\text{Res}(C)$ in R^n .

Proof:

Since $S|R$ is Galois, the bilinear form $B : S \times S \rightarrow R$ defined by $B(x, y) = \text{Tr}(xy)$ is non degenerate. The proof follows the lines of the classical Delsarte's theorem \square

Theorem [Delsarte].-

Let $S|R$ be a separable extension of finite commutative chain rings. If C is a S -linear code, then $\text{Res}(C)^\perp = \text{Tr}(C^\perp)$, where C^\perp is the orthogonal complement to C with respect to the usual scalar product, and $\text{Res}(C)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\text{Res}(C)$ in R^n .

Proof:

Since $S|R$ is Galois, the bilinear form $B : S \times S \rightarrow R$ defined by $B(x, y) = \text{Tr}(xy)$ is non degenerate. The proof follows the lines of the classical Delsarte's theorem \square

The picture

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_G & = & \text{Ext}(\text{Res}(C)) & \subseteq & C & \subseteq & \bar{C} \\
 \text{Res, Tr} \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{Ext} & & \swarrow \text{Res} & & \uparrow \text{Ext} \\
 & & & & C & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & \bar{C} \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Res}(C_G) & \subseteq & \text{Res}(C) & \subseteq & \text{Tr}(C) & = & \text{Tr}(\bar{C}) \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \text{Delsarte} & & \text{Delsarte} \\
 & & & & (\text{Res}(C^\perp))^\perp & = & (\text{Res}(\bar{C}^\perp))^\perp
 \end{array}$$

